

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

(b)(6), (b)(7)(c) (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) (b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

> IN REPLY REFER TO: 5041/201303290 Ser N01IG/0311 24 Mar 14

MEMORANDUM

From: Inspector General (Acting) (N01IGB)

To: File

Subj: RECOMMENDATION FOR CLOSURE, NAVY HOTLINE CASE 201303290

Ref: (a) Defense Hotline Case Referral 20130123-016085-CASE-01

(b) Preliminary Inquiry of 15 Jan 14

Encl: (1) Draft Endorsement

1. Complaint: reference (a) tasks a complaint filed on 22 October 2013 with DoD IG by (b)(6).(b)(7)(c) , U.S. Navy, (b)(6).(b)(7)(c) . Complainant, who was confined in the Camp Hansen, Okinawa, Brig at the time of filing, alleged that (b)(6).(b)(7)(c) U.S. Navy, (b)(6).(b)(7)(c) , committed (c)(6).(b)(7)(c) , committed (c)(6).(b)(7)(c) , committed (c)(6).(b)(7)(c) , in connection with her pre-trial confinement after (b)(6).(c)(7)(c) , in that (c)(6).(c)(7)(c) , in that (c)(6).(c)(7)(c) , denied (c)(6).(c)(7

- 3. Results of Inquiry: reference (b) appropriately summarizes the allegation investigated and the results of the inquiry. In

Subj: RECOMMEND CLOSURE NAVY HOTLINE CASE 201303290

brief, after interviewing the Subject and 12 other witnesses involved in complainants' pretrial confinement and analyzing relevant documents, the Investigating Officer (IO) found the complaint was without merit. The IO noted that because had been apprehended on board CFAY while in an unauthorized absence status and placed in pretrial confinement after (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) decided based on a record of numerous previous unauthorized absences that (b)(6),(b)(7)(c) presented a flight risk. Because CFAY lacks facilities for pretrial confinement of (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) detainees arrangements were made to send to the Camp Hansen Briq as soon as possible. The IO further determined that was never alone with (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) and that (b)(6), behaved professionally and in an even-mannered way in (1)(6), (b) interactions with (b)(6). With respect to the specific matters raised by (b)(6). (b)(7)(c) (b)(6), (b) in (b)(6), complaint, the IO made the following determinations:

- (b)(6).(b)(7)(c) alleged suicide attempt was assessed as attention-seeking behavior and was handled by CFAY Security Department personnel vice (b)(6).(b)(7)(c) who was not present at the time. (b)(6).(b)(7)(c) has a history of similar behaviors that appeared to follow occasions when (b)(6).(b) was facing disciplinary of administrative action.
- When apprehended, (0)(6),(6)(7)(6) told Security personnel (7)(6),(6)(7)(6) uniform items were in a friends' barracks room and never asked to go on board or obtain items from the ship. (0)(6),(6)(7)(6) did search for a locate some of (6)(6),(6)(7)(6) items on board the ship. The IO did find that unnecessary seabag items may have been purchased for (6)(6),(6)(7)(6) but determined that this resulted from confusion and lack of familiarity regarding items required for Navy brigs vice Marine Corps brigs.
- CFAY Security personnel promptly attempted to locate a Chaplain when requested by (b)(6),(b)(7)(c) but CFAY Chaplains were not immediately available and (b)(6),(b)(7)(c) refused to meet with a Chaplain assigned to (b)(6),(b)(7)(c) refused to meet with a chaplain assigned to (b)(6),(b)(7)(c) made no attempt to hinder this process. A CFAY Chaplain cancelled a religious service to meet with (b)(6),(b) later in the day.
- Inventory documents showed that (b)(6),(b)(7)(c) possessed basic health and comfort items upon checking into the brig, and the Brig provides health and comfort items irrespective of command funding.

Subj: RECOMMEND CLOSURE NAVY HOTLINE CASE 201303290

The IO also recommended corrective action to ensure proper checklists are created for personnel undergoing confinement in these circumstances.

- 4. Analysis of Inquiry. After review of reference (b) I conclude the Investigating Officer conducted an adequately thorough inquiry and appropriately documented the evidence (b)(6). collected and (b)(6),(b) findings of fact. I further conclude that (b)(6). applied appropriate standards to the allegations and facts and conducted a reasonable analysis based on the evidence and standards, and that (b)(6). findings that the allegation was not founded was reasonable and correct.
- 5. <u>Disposition</u>. At the present time the COMPACFLT IG is TAD. Prior to open departure I discussed this case with open and open agreed with my analysis. I am accordingly forwarding the case to the Naval Inspector General for review with a recommendation to close this case with no further action.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

(b)(6), (b)(7)(c) (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) (b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

> IN REPLY REFER TO: 5041/201303290 Ser N01IG/0316 24 Mar 14

THIRD ENDORSEMENT on Preliminary Inquiry Report of 15 Jan 14

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

To: Naval Inspector General

Subj: PRELIMINARY INQUIRY INTO DOD HOTLINE COMPLAINT NUMBER

20131023-016185-CASE-01

1. Subject preliminary inquiry is readdressed and forwarded.

2. We concur with the findings that the Subject of the complaint properly carried out (b)(7)(c) duties with respect to pretrial confinement of the complainant and that the allegations in the basic complaint are without merit.

3.	Point	of	contact	is	(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)		(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)
(b)(6), (b	o)(7)(c)	at		(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)	, in the second	or	(808) 474 - (b)(6), (b) .
							(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)